

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/782,862	02/23/2004	Yo-Jong Kim	9862-000028/US	
30593 HARNESS, D	7590 02/22/2008 ICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 891	0	GILES,	CHOLAS G	
RESTON, VA 20195			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2622	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/22/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		I A self-read >				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/782,862	KIM ET AL.				
Onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Nicholas G. Giles	2622				
Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the t	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period realiure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tircular will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 D	December 2007.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
,—	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-14 and 16-28 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 15 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers	7					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	or					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 23 February 2004 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receiv tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summan	y (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	Date				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 12/13/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Watanabe does not transfer charge to a respective row line, but instead moves the charge to node FD. The examiner notes that the claim required the charge to be transferred to a respective column line and the argument will be treated as such. The examiner points out that in Wananabe the charge is transferred from the photodiode 1 through node FD to the vertical signal line (column line) 16 by use of the pixel selection clock line 15 (row), which spans across the vertical direction (rows) of the image sensor.

Applicant further argues that Wananabe requires three separate signals to transfer charge and two of the signals are not provided from the row line. The examiner points out that this may be true, but the claim does not limit additional signals from being present and that the VSE signal provided on the row line 15 fulfills the requirement of the claim.

Specification

2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The rejection of claim 10 under 35 U.S.C. 112 second paragraph is withdrawn as the claim has been amended.

Claim Objections

4. The objection to claim 17 is withdrawn as the claim has been amended.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 6. Claims 1-4, 6-8, 10, 13, 14, 17, 18, 21-23, and 25-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Watanabe (U.S. Patent No. 7,102,677).

Regarding claim 1, Watanabe discloses:

An image sensor, comprising: a plurality of row lines (pixel selection clock line 15 Fig. 7, 1:41-45); a plurality of column lines crossing the plurality of row lines (column line 16 Fig. 7, 1:46-48); a plurality of pixels (photodiode 1 Fig. 7, 1:32-35), each pixel formed at a respective crossing of one of the plurality of row lines with one of the plurality of column lines, each pixel generating a charge based on light incident thereon and selectively transferring the charge to the respective column line based on a signal received from the respective row line (2:60-64); and a plurality of column driver circuits (driving transistor 31 and horizontal selection

switching transistor 32 Fig. 7, 1:46-55), each column driver circuit associated with one of the column lines and configured to generate an output voltage based on the charge on the associated column line (1:28-1:59, Fig. 7).

Regarding claim 2, see the rejection of claim 1 and note that Watanabe further discloses:

Each pixel comprises: a photoelectric transformation element converting incident light into a charge (Photodiode 1 Fig. 7); and a transfer circuit configured to transfer the charge to the respective column line based on the signal received from the respective row line (Pixel selection transistor 5 Fig. 7 1:41-45).

Regarding claim 3, see the rejection of claim 2 and note that Watanabe further discloses:

Photoelectric transformation element includes a photo diode (Photodiode 1 Fig. 7).

Regarding claim **4**, see the rejection of claim 2 and note that Watanabe further discloses:

Transfer circuit is a transistor connected between the photoelectric transformation element and the respective column line and having a gate connected to the respective row line (Pixel selection transistor 5 Fig. 7 1:41-45).

Regarding claim 6, see the rejection of claim 1 and note that Watanabe further discloses:

Each column driver circuit comprises: a driver circuit configured to generate a voltage based on the charge on the respective column line (driving transistor 31, Fig. 7, 1:28-1:59); and an active load connected between an output of the driver circuit and ground (load transistor 33 Fig. 7, 1:55-59).

Page 5

Regarding claim 7, see the rejection of claim 6 and note that Watanabe further discloses:

Driver circuit includes a drive transistor having a first electrode, second electrode and a gate, the first electrode being connected to a supply voltage, the second electrode serving as an output of the column driver circuit and connected to the active load, and the gate controlling operation of the drive transistor based on the charge on the associated column line (driving transistor 31, Fig. 7, 1:28-1:59).

Regarding claim 8, see the rejection of claim 7 and note that Watanabe further discloses:

Active load includes a load transistor connected between the drive transistor and ground (load transistor 33 Fig. 7, 1:55-59).

Regarding claim **10**, see the rejection of claim 6 and note that Watanabe further discloses:

Application/Control Number: 10/782,862

Art Unit: 2622

Driver circuit generates a reference voltage when a reset circuit resets the charge of each pixel associated with the associated column line (2:35-50).

Regarding claim **13**, see the rejection of claim 6 and note that Watanabe further discloses:

Column driver circuit further comprises: a start circuit configured to selectively output the generated voltage as an output of the column driver circuit (horizontal selection switching transistor 32 Fig. 7, 1:46-55).

Regarding claim **14**, see the rejection of claim 13 and note that Watanabe further discloses:

Driver circuit includes a drive transistor having a first electrode, second electrode and a gate, the first electrode being connected to a supply voltage, the second electrode connected to the start circuit, and the gate controlling operation of the drive transistor based on the charge on the associated column line (driving transistor 31, Fig. 7, 1:28-1:59); the start circuit includes a start transistor connected between the drive transistor and the active load with output of the start transistor to the active load serving as output of the column driver circuit (horizontal selection switching transistor 32 Fig. 7, 1:46-55); and the active load includes a load transistor connected between the start transistor and ground (load transistor 33 Fig. 7, 1:55-59).

Regarding claim 17, see the rejection of claim 1 and note that Watanabe further discloses:

One column driver circuit is associated with each of the column lines.

Regarding claim 18, Watanabe discloses:

An image sensor, comprising: a plurality of row lines (pixel selection clock line 15 Fig. 7, 1:41-45); a plurality of column lines crossing the plurality of row lines (column line 16 Fig. 7, 1:46-48); a plurality of pixels, each pixel formed at a respective crossing of one of the plurality of row lines with one of the plurality of column lines, each pixel generating a charge based on light incident thereon and selectively transferring the charge to the respective column line based on a signal received from the respective row line (photodiode 1 Fig. 7, 1:32-35); and a plurality reset circuits, one reset circuit being associated with each of the column lines and configured to reset the charge of each pixel associated with the associated column line (reset gate transistor 3 Fig. 7, 1:32-35).

Regarding claim 21, Watanabe discloses:

An image sensing method, comprising: selectively applying voltages to column lines of an image sensor based on a signal from a row line, the voltages based on charges generated by pixels of the image sensor (1:28-1:59, Fig. 7); and generating, for each column line, a data voltage as an output voltage based on the applied voltage (using driving

Application/Control Number: 10/782,862

Art Unit: 2622

transistor 31 and horizontal selection switching transistor 32, 1:28-1:59, Fig. 7).

Regarding claim **22**, see the rejection of claim 21 and note that Watanabe further discloses:

Prior to the applying step, comprising: resetting the charge of each pixel (2:35-50).

Regarding claim 23, see the rejection of claim 22 and note that Watanabe further discloses:

Resetting step simultaneously resets the charge of each pixel (2:35-50 and Fig. 8).

Regarding claim **25**, see the rejection of claim 22 and note that Watanabe further discloses:

Generating a reference voltage as the output voltage after the resetting step (2:35-50).

Regarding claim **26**, see the rejection of claim 25 and note that Watanabe further discloses:

Generating a reference voltage step generates the reference voltage until the applying step (2:35-50 and Fig. 8).

Regarding claim 27, see the rejection of claim 22 and note that Watanabe further discloses:

Repeating the resetting, applying and generating steps for each row of pixels in the image sensor (Fig. 8).

Regarding claim 28, see the rejection of claim 22 and note that Watanabe further discloses:

Initializing the output voltage (2:35-50).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 8. Claim **5** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watanabe in view of Yang et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,180,969).

Regarding claim **5**, see the rejection of claim 4 and note that Watanabe is silent with regards to using depletion mode NMOS transistors. Yang discloses this in 4:37-40. Yang discloses in 4:37-40 that an advantage to this is that the charge transfer efficiency is improved and they reduce voltage drop and/or loss of signal charge. For this reason it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have Watanabe include using depletion mode NMOS transistors.

9. Claims **9**, **11**, **16**, **19**, **and 24** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watanabe in view of Prater (U.S. Patent No. 5,654,537).

Regarding claim **9**, see the rejection of claim 6 and note that Watanabe is silent with regards to a reset circuit resetting the charge of each pixel associated with a column line. Prater discloses this in 3:60-4:5. Prater discloses in 3:62-4:5 that an advantage to using this is that any voltage between V.sub.DD and ground can be

applied to the column line and reset FET 54. For this reason it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have Watanabe include a reset circuit resetting the charge of each pixel associated with a column line.

Regarding claim **11**, see the rejection of claim 9 and note that Prater further discloses:

Reset circuit includes a transistor connected between a supply voltage and the associated column line (3:60-4:5).

Prater discloses in 3:60-4:5 that an advantage to this is that the use of the reset transistors allows any voltage between V.sub.DD and ground to be applied to the column line and reset FET 54. For this reason it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have Watanabe include a reset transistor connected between a supply voltage and the column line.

Regarding claim **16**, see the rejection of claim 1 and note that Watanabe is silent with regards to the driver circuit resetting the charge of each pixel associated with the column line. Prater discloses this in 3:60-4:5. Prater discloses in 3:62-4:5 that an advantage to using this is that any voltage between V.sub.DD and ground can be applied to the column line and reset FET 54. For this reason it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have Watanabe include a reset circuit resetting the charge of each pixel associated with a column line.

Regarding claim **19**, see the rejection of claim 18 and note that Watanabe is silent with regards to a reset transistor connected between a supply voltage and the column line.

Reset circuit includes a transistor connected between a supply voltage and the associated column line (3:60-4:5).

Prater discloses in 3:60-4:5 that an advantage to this is that the use of the reset transistors allows any voltage between V.sub.DD and ground to be applied to the column line and reset FET 54. For this reason it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have Watanabe include a reset transistor connected between a supply voltage and the column line.

Regarding claim **24**, see the rejection of claim 22 and note that Watanabe is silent with regards to applying a supply voltage to each column line to reset the pixel. Prater discloses:

Resetting step includes applying a supply voltage to each column line to reset the charge of each pixel (3:60-4:5).

Prater discloses in 3:62-4:5 that an advantage to using this is that any voltage between V.sub.DD and ground can be applied to the column line and reset FET 54. For this reason it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have Watanabe include a reset circuit resetting the charge of each pixel associated with a column line.

10. Claims **12 and 20** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watanabe in view of Prater in further view of Yang et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,180,969).

Regarding claim **12**, see the rejection of claim 19 and note that Watanabe and Prater are silent with regards to using depletion mode NMOS transistors. Yang discloses this in 4:37-40. Yang discloses in 4:37-40 that an advantage to this is that the charge transfer efficiency is improved and they reduce voltage drop and/or loss of signal charge. For this reason it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have Watanabe include using depletion mode NMOS transistors.

Regarding claim **20**, see the rejection of claim 19 and note that Watanabe and Prater are silent with regards to using depletion mode NMOS transistors. Yang discloses this in 4:37-40. Yang discloses in 4:37-40 that an advantage to this is that the charge transfer efficiency is improved and they reduce voltage drop and/or loss of signal charge. For this reason it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have Watanabe include using depletion mode NMOS transistors.

Allowable Subject Matter

11. Claim **15** is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/782,862 Page 13

Art Unit: 2622

Regarding claim **15**, no prior art could be located that teaches or fairly suggests the start transistor being an enhancement mode transistor and being larger than the drive transistor and load transistor in combination with the rest of the limitations of the claim.

Conclusion

12. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nicholas G. Giles whose telephone number is (571) 272-2824. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30am to 4:00pm EST.

Application/Control Number: 10/782,862

Art Unit: 2622

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lin Ye can be reached on (571) 272-7372. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

NGG

LIN YE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 14